



GRUPO DE HABLA ESPAÑOLA Y PORTUGUESA DE LA ISFG

GRUPO DE LÍNGUAS ESPANHOLA E PORTUGUESA DA ISFG

Ministerio de Justicia
INSTITUTO NACIONAL DE TOXICOLOGÍA Y CIENCIAS FORENSESSERVICIO DE GARANTÍA DE CALIDAD
DEPARTAMENTO DE MADRID
C/ José Echegaray nº 4 - 29232 Las Rozas de Madrid (Madrid)
Tf.+34 91 768 89 19 Fax +34 91 564 86 54
e-mail: intcf.eiadr@justicia.es**Activities marked are not bound to ENAC accreditation*

INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM

“ANALYSIS OF DNA POLYMORPHISMS IN BLOODSTAINS AND OTHER BIOLOGICAL SAMPLES”

BASIC LEVEL**EXERCISE EIADN Nr 30 (2022)****DEADLINE: 16/05/2022**2022/Kinship Module

M1 to M3: reference items

2022/Forensic module

M4: forensic unknown item

M5: hair sample

Seal number

Approach:**2022/Kinship Module – Basic level****Practical Kinship study**

- **M1, M2, M3:** reference items for genetic profiling.

Theoretical Kinship study

Participants are asked to solve the proposed theoretical study.

2022/Forensic Module – Basic level**Practical Forensic study**

- **M4:** forensic item for genetic profiling.
- **M5:** hair for mitochondrial DNA analysis.
- ◆ Determine the body fluid component or possible components of the item M4.
- ◆ Could any of the donors from the reference items M1, M2, M3 have contributed to the item M4?

Theoretical Forensic study

Participants are asked to solve the proposed theoretical study.

Methodology to be used

The analyses will be performed by using the markers and methods chosen by the laboratory or those use of routine or that are being under implementation. The items must be processed as real casework and, if possible, as blind samples.

INDEX

	Page
1. Methodology	
1.1. DNA extraction, purification/concentration and quantitation	3
1.2. STRs methodology	
1.2.1. Multiplex kits methodology	3
1.2.2. Other methodology for autosomal STR markers and amelogenin	4
1.2.3. Other methodology for Y-STR markers	4
1.2.4. Other methodology for X-STR markers	4
1.3. Mitochondrial DNA methodology	
1.3.1. Amplification parameters	5
1.3.2. Sequencing and editing parameters	5
1.4. Methodology for body fluid identification of item M4	5
1.5. Other considerations regarding methodology different to reported	5
2. Practical studies results	
2.1. STR markers results	
2.1.1. Autosomal STRs and amelogenin	6
2.1.2. Y-STRs	7
2.1.3. X-STRs	8
2.2. Mitochondrial DNA results	8
3. Practical studies conclusions	
3.1. Kinship module	9
3.1.1. Remarks about items M1, M2 and M3	9
3.2. Forensic Module	9
3.2.1. Question 1	9
3.2.2. Question 2	9
3.2.3. Question 3	9
3.2.4. Remarks about items M4 and M5	9
4. Theoretical studies	
4.1. Kinship theoretical study	10
4.2. Forensic theoretical study	12
5. Remarks about this exercise	16
6. Suggestions for subsequent exercises	16
7. Compromises to be met by the participant	16
Date and signature of the person in charge	16
Request for certification	16

1. Methodology *Read carefully the instructions provided before filling in this section***1.1 DNA Extraction, purification/concentration and quantitation****TABLE 1**

Item	Differentia l ysis (Yes/No)	Extraction Purification/ Concentration (Code)	EP00 (Specify)	Quantitation (Code)	C00 (Specify)
M1					
M2					
M3					
M4					
M5					

See Appendix 2022 for codes

1.2 STRs methodology**1.2.1 Multiplex kits methodology****TABLE 2A (Multiplex kits)**

If a kit not included in the table is used, add it in the last rows.

Multiplex	Report 'YES' if used	Detection (Code)	D00 (Specify)
FFFL (Promega)			
PowerPlex 16/16 HS (Promega)			
PowerPlex ESI 16 (Promega)			
PowerPlex ESX 16 (Promega)			
PowerPlex ESI 17 (Promega)			
PowerPlex ESX 17 (Promega)			
PowerPlex 18D (Promega)			
Profiler Plus (AB)			
SGM Plus (AB)			
Identifiler (AB)			
Identifiler Plus (AB)			
Identifiler Direct (AB)			
NGM (AB)			
NGM SElect (AB)			
MiniFiler (AB)			
Investigator ESSplex (Qiagen)			
Investigator ESSplex SE (Qiagen)			
Investigator IDplex (Qiagen)			
YFiler (AB)			
PowerPlex Y (Promega)			
Argus X-8 (Biotype)			
Investigator Argus X-12 (Qiagen)			
XSTR-Decaplex GHEP (Gusmão)			
PowerPlex CS7 (Promega)			
Profiler (AB)			
Investigator Argus Y-12 (Qiagen)			
SEfiler (AB)			
PowerPlex 23Y(Promega)			
PowerPlex Fusion System (Promega)			

Multiplex	Report 'YES' if used	Detection (Code)	D00 (Specify)
Global Filer (AB)			
PowerPlex 21 (Promega)			
Investigator 24plex QS (Qiagen)			
PowerPlex Fusion 6C System (Promega)			
Verifiler (AB)			
YFiler Plus (AB)			
Investigator ESSplex plus (Qiagen)			
Investigator ESSplex Plus (Qiagen)SE			
Investigator IDplex Plus (Qiagen)			
Investigator HDplex (Qiagen)			
Investigator Argus X-12 QS (Qiagen)			

See Appendix 2022 for codes

1.2.2 Other methodology for autosomal STR markers and amelogenin

TABLE 2B

Fill in ONLY in case kits multiplex are not used or additional STR markers are utilized. Indicate the number of markers, the primers and the methodology used.

Number of markers	Primer/Ladder (Code)	PL00 (Specify)	Detection (Code)	D00 (Specify)

See Appendix 2022 for codes

1.2.3 Other methodology for Y-STR markers

TABLE 2C

Fill in ONLY in case kits multiplex are not used or additional Y-STR markers are utilized. Indicate the number of markers, the primers and the methodology used.

Number of markers	Primer/Ladder (Code)	PL00 (Specify)	Detection (Code)	D00 (Specify)

See Appendix 2022 for codes

1.2.4 Other methodology for X-STR markers

TABLE 2D

Fill in ONLY in case kits multiplex are not used or additional X-STR markers are utilized. Indicate the number of markers, the primers and the methodology used.

Number of markers	Primer/Ladder (Code)	PL00 (Specify)	Detection (Code)	D00 (Specify)

See Appendix 2022 for codes

2. Practical studies results:

Read carefully the instructions provided in order to fill in the results tables and the rules of participation in order to know the establishment of assigned values and the evaluation of results
<https://ghep-isfg.org/en/proficiency/participation/>

2.1 STRs Results

ALL PARTICIPANTS OF THE FORENSIC MODULE, MUST COMPLETE COMPULSORY THE COLUMN OF TOTAL ALLELES DETECTED REGARDLESS THE EXTRACTION SYSTEM USED. The 1st and 2nd fraction columns are additional and optional, in case the laboratory have performed differential lysis and want to reflect its result.

2.1.1 Autosomal STRs and amelogenin

TABLE 6A

KINSHIP MODULE				FORENSIC MODULE		
MARKER	M1	M2	M3	M4		
				Total of alleles detected Ex:9-11-15-17	1 st fraction Ex:9-17	2 nd fraction Ex: 11-15
AMEL						
D8S1179						
D21S11						
D7S820						
CSF1PO						
D3S1358						
TH01						
D13S317						
D16S539						
D2S1338						
D19S433						
vWA						
TPOX						
D18S51						
D5S818						
FGA						
Penta D						
Penta E						
D10S1248						
D22S1045						
D2S441						
D1S1656						
D12S391						
SE33						
FES/FPS						
F13A01						
F13B						
LPL						
Penta C						
D6S1043						

2.1.2 Y-STRs

TABLE 6B

KINSHIP MODULE				FORENSIC MODULE		
MARKER	M1	M2	M3	M4		
				Total of alleles detected Ex: 13-15	1 ST fraction Ex: 15	2 nd fraction Ex: 13
DYS456						
DYS389 I						
DYS390						
DYS389 II						
DYS458						
DYS19						
DYS385						
DYS393						
DYS391						
DYS439 (GATA A4)						
DYS635 (GATA C4)						
DYS392						
GATAH4						
DYS437						
DYS438						
DYS448						
DYS460 (GATA A7.1)						
DYS461 (GATA A7.2)						
GATAA10						
DYS388						
DYS576						
DYS481						
DYS549						
DYS533						
DYS570						
DYS643						
DYS627						
DYS518						
DYS449						
DYF387S1						

2.1.3 X-STRs

TABLE 6C

KINSHIP MODULE				FORENSIC MODULE		
MARKER	M1	M2	M3	M4		
				Total of alleles detected Ex: 12-15-17-20	1 ST fraction Ex: 12-15	2 nd fraction Ex: 17-20
HPRTB						
DXS8378						
DXS9898						
DXS7133						
GATA31E08						
GATA172D05						
DXS7423						
DXS6809						
DXS7132						
DXS9902						
DXS6789						
DXS10103						
DXS10134						
DXS10074						
DXS10101						
DXS10135						
DXS10146						
DXS10079						
DXS10148						

2.2 Mitochondrial DNA results

In Table 7A, report the initial and final positions of the edited regions and in Table 7B report the haplotypes in the order requested in the instructions

TABLE 7A

ITEMS		EDITED REGIONS	
KINSHIP MODULE			
M1			
M2			
M3			
FORENSIC MODULE			
M4	1 st fraction		
	2 nd fraction		
Hair M5			

TABLE 7B

ITEMS		HAPLOTYPE
KINSHIP MODULE		
M1		
M2		
M3		
FORENSIC MODULE		
M4	1 st fraction	
	2 nd fraction	
Hair M5		

3. Practical Studies Conclusions

3.1 Kinship Module

3.1.1 *Remarks about items M1, M2 and M3

Indicate any comments or remarks, you consider, about the analyzed items. Please, remember that only the genetic profiling of the reference items M1 to M3 is required; it is not necessary to investigate a genetic relationship among them.

--

3.2 Forensic Module

3.2.1 Determine the body fluid component or possible components of the item M4.

Components (mark with an X the component/s detected)

	Blood	Semen	Saliva
M4	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

3.2.2 Indicate the minimum number of contributors detected in the item M4.

	1	2	3
M4	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

3.2.3 Could any of the donors from the reference items M1, M2, M3 have contributed to the item M4?

	M1	M2	M3
M4	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

3.2.4 *Remarks about items M4 and M5.

--

4. Theoretical studies

Read carefully the instructions provided in order to fill in the results tables and the rules of participation in order to know the establishment of assigned values and the evaluation of results
<https://ghep-isfg.org/en/proficiency/participation/>

In order to solve the theoretical studies (kinship and forensic) it is assumed that:

- the population is in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and that no correction is made due to population substructure ($\theta=0$).
- silent alleles rate and mutation rate are 0.
- drop in, drop out correction=0

Calculations have to be made by using the “2022 Alleles Frequencies” table provided.

4.1 Kinship theoretical study

4.1.1 Approach

A famous oil magnate (P) dies without having any legally recognized children. A few months later, a young man (J) alleges that he is his son, born as a result of a relationship between the magnate and one of his domestic workers. The judge agrees to the exhumation of the body in order to obtain biological samples from P and perform the appropriate biological paternity test.

Note : The mother of J passed away and she was incinerated, so there is no sample available.

Markers	(P)	(J)
Amelogenin	X-Y	X-Y
VWA	18	14-18
TPOX	8	8-11
TH01	7-9	7-9
FGA	22-23	22
D8S1179	11-12	11-12
D7S820	11-12	11-12
D5S818	9-12	9-12
D3S1358	17-18	15-17
D2S1338	19-21	19
D21S11	29-32.2	28-32.2
D19S433	14-16.2	12-14
D18S51	13-16	12-16
D16S539	10-11	10-12
D13S317	9-14	9-14
CSF1PO	11-12	12

4.1.2 Paternity Index

Calculate the paternity index taking into account the following hypotheses:

H0	P is the biological father of young man J
H1	Another male unrelated genetically with P is the biological father of young man J

Report the partial paternity indexes and the total PI in **Table 8**.

Use scientific notation (Excel format). Ex. 1,2346E-01

TABLE 8

Markers	PI
VWA	
TPOX	
TH01	
FGA	
D8S1179	
D7S820	
D5S818	
D3S1358	
D2S1338	
D21S11	
D19S433	
D18S51	
D16S539	
D13S317	
CSF1PO	
Total PI	

4.1.3 Software/s used to carry out the statistical calculations.

Program	version	Remarks (other software, comments, etc)
Familias		
DNA view		
PatPCR		
BDGen		
PatCan		
Genética Forense Final		
Home-made Software		
Others ¹		

¹If your software is not displayed in the table, chose "others" and specify it in the cell Remarks

4.1.4 Hand-made calculations. Formulas used

In the case, your laboratory performs all calculations by hand, then report the used formulas in **Table 9**

TABLE 9

Markers	PI
VWA	
TPOX	
TH01	
FGA	
D8S1179	
D7S820	
D5S818	
D3S1358	
D2S1338	
D21S11	
D19S433	
D18S51	
D16S539	
D13S317	
CSF1PO	
Total PI	

4.1.5 *Conclusions and remarks about the kinship theoretical study.

--

4.2 Forensic theoretical study

4.2.1 Approach

A man (V) is stabbed in the street during a robbery. The assailant runs away and throws the knife into a nearby container. When the police arrived at the scene, they recovered the knife stained with blood on the edge and on the handle. After genetic analysis, a mixture genetic profile is obtained on the knife handle. The reference sample of the victim is available (V).

Markers	Mixture Knife	Victim (V)
Amelogenin	X-Y	X-Y
D3S1358	15-17	15
VWA	14-16-18	14-18
D16S539	11-13	13
CSF1PO	11	11
TPOX	8-10-11	10-11

Markers	Mixture Knife	Victim (V)
D8S1179	11-12-14-15	14-15
D21S11	29-31.2	29-31.2
D18S51	12-14-15-18	14-15
D2S441	12-13-14	13
D19S433	12-13-15-16	12-13
TH01	7-9	7-9
FGA	19-23-24-25	19-23
D22S1045	15-17	15
D5S818	11-13	11-13
D13S317	8-12-13	8-12
D7S820	8-10-11-12	8-12
SE33	15-18-22.2-23.2	18-22.2
D10S1248	14-16	14
D1S1656	14-15.3-17.3-18.3	14-15.3
D12S391	19-21-22	19
D2S1338	16-23-24	16

4.2.2. LR value

Report the partial Likelihood Ratio (LR) values, as well as the total LR in **Table 10**, according to the following hypothesis:

H0	The mixture genetic profile comes from the victim (V) and from an unknown individual (U1)
H1	The mixture genetic profile comes from two unknown individuals (U1 + U2)

TABLE 10
Use scientific notation (Excel format). Ex. 1,2346E-01

Markers	LR
D3S1358	
VWA	
D16S539	
CSF1PO	
TPOX	
D8S1179	
D21S11	
D18S51	
D2S441	

D19S433	
TH01	
FGA	
D22S1045	
D5S818	
D13S317	
D7S820	
SE33	
D10S1248	
D1S1656	
D12S391	
D2S1338	
Total LR	

4.2.3 Software/s used to carry out the statistical calculations.

Program	version	Remarks (other software, comments, etc)
LRmix Studio		
LR mezcla v inteligente		
EuroForMix		
DNAMix		
Genética Forense Final		
Home-made Software		
DNA View		
Others ²		

²If your software is not displayed in the table, choose "others" and specify it in the cell "Remarks".

4.2.4 Handmade calculations. Formulas used.

In case of only handmade calculations, then report the used formulas in **Table 11**.

TABLE 11

Markers	LR
D3S1358	
VWA	
D16S539	
CSF1PO	
TPOX	
D8S1179	
D21S11	
D18S51	

Markers	LR
D2S441	
D19S433	
TH01	
FGA	
D22S1045	
D5S818	
D13S317	
D7S820	
SE33	
D10S1248	
D1S1656	
D12S391	
D2S1338	
Total LR	

4.2.5 *Conclusions

Issue a conclusion regarding the results obtained.

--

4.2.6 Would you use other hypotheses different from the ones proposed?. In case you would, indicate them.

H0	
H1	

5. Remarks about this exercise**6. Suggestions for subsequent exercises****7. Compromises to be met by the participant**

The analyses, both, the generated results and their statistical evaluation have been performed in the facilities of the participating laboratory and by its own staff, following working protocols used in routine casework together with safety precautions. **In accordance with the donors' consent, these items will be processed anonymously for the Intercomparison Exercise INTCFM/GHEP-ISFG. Additionally they could be used as a reference material and/or quality control for the laboratory either using the techniques required in the Exercise or other forensic techniques but always for the purpose of human identification, analyzing non coding regions or regions that would not provided sensitive information about the donor: illnesses, pathologies or other genetic information which could infringe his/her privacy.**

Name of the person in charge

Date and signature

WOULD YOU LIKE TO RECEIVE A CERTIFICATE OF PARTICIPATION?

Kinship Module (Basic level) (Yes/No)	Forensic Module (Basic level) (Yes/No)

CHOOSE THE LANGUAGE OF THE CERTIFICATE **SPANISH**
ENGLISH
BOTH

☐
☐
☐

Note.- In order to receive the certificate of participation you must return this form duly signed.